

MPLS Grand Slam Club

November 11, 2011

Charity Game



Mike Cassel, Newsletter Coordinator, mc2mikec@aol.com

Charity Pairs Friday Eve Session November 11, 2011

Scores after 9 rounds Average: **121.5** Section A North-South

Pair	Pct	Score	Rank	O/A	MPs	
3	62.96	153.00	1	1	3.50(O)	Roark Johnson - Audrey Weikle
7	61.34	149.06	2	2	2.63(O)	Kurt Schaeffer - Sharon Anderson
1	59.03	143.44	3	4	1.48(O)	Kerry Holloway - Peg Mitchell
9	56.58	137.50	4	5	1.11(O)	George Bleskacek - Dennis Ryan
2	52.78	128.25				Ronald DeHarpporte - Peg Waller
5	52.78	128.25				Susan Jackson - Cecil Frank
6	49.54	120.38				Nancy Glenn - David Glenn
4	43.75	106.31				Diane Henson - Larry Henson
8	40.97	99.56				Roger Anderson - Anne Schaeffer
11	39.81	96.75				Marsha Devine - Marian Plummer
10	31.89	77.50				Colin Kehe - Theresa Kehe

Charity Pairs Friday Eve Session November 11, 2011

Scores after 9 rounds Average: 121.5 Section A East-West

Pair	Pct	Score	Rank	O/A	MPs	
2	59.88	145.50	1	3	1.97(O)	Jonathan Cohen - Brian Crossley
8	56.38	137.00	2	6	1.23(S)	Richard Lawson - Andrew Caranicas
10	55.76	135.50	3		0.88(S)	Donald Prierwert - Elizabeth Hughes
3	53.29	129.50	4		0.61(S)	Carol Rynders - Michael Cassel II
9	52.26	127.00				Linda Brammer - Timothy Adamson
4	50.00	121.50				Judy Schwarz - Phil Schwarz
5	47.12	114.50				Eunice Smith - Gary Smith
6	46.30	112.50				Mary Wescott - Verda Gould
7	43.00	104.50				Mark Krusemeyer - John Minton
1	36.01	87.50				David Neiman - Magnus Weiman

Get the MGSC game results online at Acbl.org : Club Results : Minnesota: Minneapolis : This week's results: <http://clubresults.acbl.org/Results/101691/2011/11/111111E.HTM>

Congrats to Roark and Audrey who bested Kurt and Sharon by a little less than ½ board in our first charity game. Jonathan and Brian led the EW field by a board. A big thank you again to Tony Ames for running the 11 table web movement where only 27 boards were in play. Each board was played 10 times in the 10.5 table movement for a 9 top and a 121.5 average. It doesn't get fairer than this. Marcia and Marian, at 11 N-S got to play the boards in reverse order, but for everyone else it looked like just another normal Mitchell movement except for EWs at table 11.

MGSC KOs: Round 5 Match-ups #<http://www.grandslammn.org/specialEvents/KOMatches.php>

Round 5 Matchups to be completed by December 11

Balderson (4-0) vs Holloway (4-0) vs Gaynor (3-1) Round Robin

Out: Tigges and Weiman (0-2) , Dodson, Schwartz, Hendrickson (1-2), Stuhlman, Henson, Jackson (2-2)

Treats

Sign up on the treat schedule at . <http://www.grandslammn.org/calendar.php>

Next open date is 'the night before the night before'.... 12/23.

Problem hand from the Saturday Afternoon Pair/Team Game at the Mn. State

Looking at the traveler below from Saturday afternoon's game at last week's Sectional, it is clear that the N/S field had some trouble with Board 32. None of the 24 pairs found the cold red suit slam, and 1/3 of the field failed in their contract. Is the South hand worth a 2/1 after partner opens 1♠? I didn't think so. After South bids a forcing 1N, what is the best rebid by N? If North rebids 3♠, a clear underbid in my opinion, can South risk a 4♥ call? How does your partnership handle one suited hands too strong for jump rebids. Here is one treatment that would have worked fabulously, a rebid of 2N over 1N forcing as an artificial game force: <http://www.bridgewinners.com/index.php/bridge-articles/88-expert/47-five-bidding-approaches-i-know-are-right>. This "power relay", as presented, allows responder to transfer with a long heart suit. All 3 card limit raises employ a 3♥ rebid by responder. Others play that opener's jump shift to 3♣ can be artificial? If you reached hearts on this hand, how did you do it?



RESULTS OF BOARD 32

SCORES		MATCHPOINTS	
N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W
480		15.50	7.50
	50	4.00	19.00
480		15.50	7.50
510		21.50	1.50
140		8.00	15.00
480		15.50	7.50
	100	0.00	23.00
480		15.50	7.50
510		21.50	1.50
480		15.50	7.50
	50	4.00	19.00
510		21.50	1.50
480		15.50	7.50
	50	4.00	19.00
420		10.50	12.50
	50	4.00	19.00
510		21.50	1.50
480		15.50	7.50
170		9.00	14.00
	50	4.00	19.00
420		10.50	12.50
480		15.50	7.50
	50	4.00	19.00
50		4.00	19.00

Highlights from the Charity Game

Board 10
 East Deals
 Both Vul

♠ J 10 6 4
 ♥ 6 3
 ♦ Q J 8 5
 ♣ 8 6 5

♠ 7 2
 ♥ 10 5
 ♦ K 9 7 6
 ♣ K J 10 4 2

♠ 8 3
 ♥ 8 7 4
 ♦ 10 4 3 2
 ♣ Q 9 7 3

W N E S

♠ A K Q 9 5
 ♥ A K Q J 9 2
 ♦ A
 ♣ A

Contract	Scores	Matchpoints	
		N-SE-W	N-S E-W
7 NT S	2220	8.00	1.00
7 NT S	2220	8.00	1.00
7 NT S	2220	8.00	1.00
7 ♠ S	2210	4.00	5.00
7 ♥ N	2210	4.00	5.00
7 ♠ N	2210	4.00	5.00
7 ♥ N	2210	4.00	5.00
7 ♥ S	2210	4.00	5.00
6 NT S	1470	1.00	8.00
1 ♥ S	260	0.00	9.00

No Loser Hand

Any round of bridge featuring a no loser hand has to be highlighted. 3 of 10 pairs got to 7N; their auctions the same: 7N!

Larry Henson with Diane
 Cecil Frank with Sue
 Dave Glenn with Nancy

How many times in your life will you have a hand to try this one bid auction? Why not then? I would have resisted this allure to try to solicit spade support:

2♣ - 2♦ or 2♥[wtg or weak], 2♠ - 4♠, 7N.
 You want to know if the spades will run and bidding them will fetch a sweet raise. You can still bid 7N without a raise and


hope for 3-3♠ if partner has a doubleton. Annie, channeling Gov. Perry, lost her Energy Department. We've all been there & done that.

Board 2
 East Deals
 N-S Vul

♠ AK 5 3
 ♥ 9 8 6 2
 ♦ Q 10
 ♣ 7 6 5

♠ 10 9 7 4
 ♥ J 10 5
 ♦ K 7 6 5
 ♣ Q 10

♠ —
 ♥ AK 7
 ♦ A 8 4 3
 ♣ AJ 9 8 4 2



Contract	Scores	Matchpoints	
		N-SE-W	N-S E-W
6 ♣ S	1370	9.00	0.00
4 ♥ N	650	8.00	1.00
3 NT N	630	6.50	2.50
3 NT N	630	6.50	2.50
5 ♣ S	600	3.50	5.50
5 ♣ S	600	3.50	5.50
5 ♣ S	600	3.50	5.50
5 ♣ N	600	3.50	5.50
3 ♥ S	170	1.00	8.00
6 ♣ S	100	0.00	9.00

Blackout over Reverses

Only two pairs found the par spot of 3N on Bd. 2. Marcia and Marian conducted an auction against silent opponents that is worth a comment:

1♣ - 1♥, 2♦ - 2♠, 3♥ - 3N

In all of my established partnerships we use the 4th suit, if available below 2N to show the weak hand, and 2N to show a stopper(s) in the 4th suit. Any other bid is game forcing.

If NT is to be played opposite a weak responder you generally want the strong hand declaring, so using 2N as the weak bid can wrongside the contract.

Lebensohl over reverses is a common convention but this wrinkle, known as Blackout has served me well.

Lucky is Good?!


Audrey chalked up +1370 via a Chinese finesse in diamonds!

Board 4
 West Deals
 Both Vul

♠ Q 6 2
 ♥ 10 2
 ♦ 9 6 3 2
 ♣ Q 10 3 2

♠ AK 7 4
 ♥ K Q 8 7 6 5 3
 ♦ K
 ♣ 8

♠ 10 9 5
 ♥ 9 4
 ♦ 7 5
 ♣ AJ 9 6 5 4



Contract	Scores	Matchpoints	
		N-SE-W	N-S E-W
4 ♥ E	680	8.00	1.00
4 ♥ E	680	8.00	1.00
4 ♥ E	680	8.00	1.00
6 ♦ W	1370	6.00	3.00
6 ♥ W	1430	4.00	5.00
6 ♥ E	1430	4.00	5.00
6 ♥ E	1430	4.00	5.00
6 NT W	1440	2.00	7.00
6 ♥ W	1460	0.50	8.50
6 ♥ W	1460	0.50	8.50

Lucky is Good?! Pt. 2

Carol & I were the only pair in 6N. The K& R Hand Evaluator: <http://www.jeff-goldsmith.org/cgi-bin/knr.cgi> values West at 17.45, maybe a titch strong, but I wanted to protect the tenace values so I opened 1N.

The auction proceeded À La Rueful Rabbit via 4N following the heart transfer, and Kurt found the club lead to salvage 2 matchpoints after I bid 6N over 4N.

Next week is New Partner Night #1. MGSC membership lists came out with the October 7 Bulletin. Email me if you need it resent. Call that member you've thought about playing with and make a date.

On Deck

- 11/18 New Partner Night #1
- 11/25 Happy Thanksgiving: no game
- 12/ 2 No Game: Unit 103 Sectional
- 12/ 9 Unit Championship (Venue Change to TCBC)
- 12/16 Club Night #2: members play free